

KRISTIN TRUEMAN,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	Case No. 2:15-cv-01872-RFB-NJK
)	
vs.)	
)	
LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE)	ORDER
DEPARTMENT, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	(Docket No. 38)


The reasoning in the instant request is nearly identical to the reasoning in the parties' first request. *See* Docket Nos. 22, 38. The Court has already explained that such reasoning does not qualify as good cause. *See* Docket No. 23 at 1 (granting the parties' first request "as a one-time courtesy" despite the parties' failure to show good cause). Moreover, three of the five deadlines at issue have already passed, yet the parties fail to even address the excusable neglect standard.

1 Further, the Court has already explained that the parties must diligently conduct discovery
2 and that it will scrutinize any extension requests for diligence. Docket No. 23 at 2. Nonetheless,
3 the parties have failed to make any showing of diligence, as it appears that they have engaged in
4 little to no discovery since June. *See* Docket No. 22 at 1-2; Docket No. 38 at 1-2. Instead, the
5 parties appear to mistakenly believe that a pending dispositive motion exempts them from their
6 discovery obligations. As a result, it appears that the parties cannot demonstrate diligence.
7 Nonetheless, the Court gives them the opportunity to do so if they can.

8 Accordingly, the parties' second stipulation to extend discovery deadlines (Docket No. 38)
9 is hereby **DENIED**. The parties may submit a renewed stipulation, if they so choose, that addresses
10 the applicable standards, no later than November 10, 2016.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: November 3, 2016



13
14 NANCY J. KOPPE
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28